

ECO-INNOVATION

at the heart of European policies

(/environment/ecoap/ind (/environ		Funding t/ecoap/abo plan_en)	Eco-innovation in practice (/environment/ecoap/abo ut-eco-innovation_en) Country profiles (/environment/ecoap/cou ntry_profiles_en)		Eco-innovation Indicators (/environment/ecoap/indi cators/index_en)		Environmental Technology Verification (/environment/ecoap/etv_ en)	News & events (/environment/ecoap/new s-events_en)		Community platfor (https://ec.europa.eu/ ronment/ecoap/comr ty-platform)
Eco-innovation Index (/environment/ecoap/indicators/index_en)		Inputs (/environment/ecoap/indicators/inputs_en)		Activitie (/environment/ecoap/indie		Outputs (/environment/ecoap/indicators/outpu		ıts_en)	Economic outcomes (/environment/ecoap/indicators/so economic-outcomes_en)	

RESOURCE EFFICIENCY OUTCOMES

Eco-innovation can support the realization of a low-carbon, resource efficient Europe through increasing the resource efficiency performance of sectors and countries. Eco-innovation can have a twofold positive impact on resource efficiency: it can increase the generated economic value, while at the same time decreasing pressures on the natural environment.

ECO-INNOVATION RESOURCE EFFICIENCY COMPOSITE MEMBER STATE RANKING, 2010-2017

	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Luxembourg	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	- 1
Italy	2	3	4	5	9	5	8	9
Ireland	3	6	5	4	4	7	5	4
Malta	4	4	10	13	6	4	4	5
Spain	5	8	6	9	12	8	12	12
United Kingdom	6	1	2	2	1	1	2	2
Sweden	7	11	8	11	10	11	6	6
Denmark	8	5	7	6	7	6	11	11
Austria	9	9	9	7	11	9	7	7
Germany	10	14	11	8	5	12	9	8
Netherlands	11	7	3	3	3	3	3	3
France	12	10	12	10	8	10	10	10
Portugal	13	12	14	14	14	14	15	14
Belgium	14	15	13	12	13	15	13	13
Lithuania	15	17	15	16	17	18	21	22
Slovakia	16	13	20	18	22	19	19	20
Croatia	17	16	17	17	16	28	28	28
Hungary	18	20	16	15	18	17	18	18
Latvia	19	19	23	22	23	21	17	17
Slovenia	20	21	21	20	20	16	20	19
Cyprus	21	18	18	21	21	22	22	21
Romania	22	22	24	24	24	23	24	25
Greece	23	23	19	23	15	13	14	15
Finland	24	25	22	19	19	20	16	16
Czech Republic	25	24	25	25	25	24	23	23
Poland	26	26	26	26	26	25	25	24
Bulgaria	27	27	27	28	28	26	27	27
Estonía	28	28	28	27	27	27	26	26

(https://ec.europa.eu/environment/ecoap/sites/ecoap_stayco nnected/files/resource_efficiency_ranking.png? width=800&height=600).

TRENDS, COMPARISONS AND RELATED INDICATORS

MATERIAL
PRODUCTIVITY
AND ABSOLUTE
MATERIAL
CONSUMPTION
IN EU-27
COUNTRIES, 2015



MATERIAL PRODUCTIVITY CHANGES IN EU MS, 2000-2015



(https://ec.europa.eu/e nvironment/ecoap/site s/ecoap stayconnecte d/files/graph res_prod nvironment/ecoap/site s/ecoap stayconnecte d/files/graph abs.jpg2 width=800&height=60 Q)

Download data set (https://ec.europa.eu/e nvironment/ecoap/site s/ecoap stayconnecte d/files/re outcomes b

> <u>1.xlsx)</u> ce: Eurostat

Source: Eurostat (2016)

How do EU Member States perform regarding resource efficiency? Have countries with higher resource efficiency compared to others achieved lower levels of absolute resource consumption?

Material productivity values differ significantly across the EU Member States. While the United Kingdom generated around 3.5 € per kilogram of material consumption (Domestic Material Consumption, DMC) in 2013, the value was only 0.6 €/kg for Bulgaria. However, high material productivity does not automatically imply low levels of absolute material consumption per capita. For example, with 3.3 € per kilogram DMC in 2013, Luxembourg ranked second in 2015 with regard to material

productivity. At the

<u>1.jpg?</u> width=800&height=60

Download data set (https://ec.europa.eu/e nvironment/ecoap/site s/ecoap stayconnecte d/files/re outcomes b 2.xlsx)

Source: Eurostat (2016)

Which EU Member States have achieved the largest material productivity improvements in the past 15 years?

"In the period from 2000 to 2015, some **EU Member States** have achieved a considerable increase in material productivity, measures as Euro GDP per kilogramm of material consumption (DMC). In countries with the highest improvements, such as Spain and Cyprus, the economic crisis led to a dramatic shrinking of material consumption, most notably in the construction sector, which explained a large part of the overall development. However, the initial levels in the year 2000 were different and the range between the lowest and highest values in the year 2015 diverged even more. For example, while Italy increased

same time, absolute material consumption was one of the higghest in the EU (23.7 kg).

its material efficiency from a rather average level to a relatively high level, Cyprus increased its efficiency from a comparatively a level close to the EU average of 2.18 €/kg in 2015. Lowest growth rates across the EU-28 countries were observed for Malta (around average performance in 2015) and Romania (with 0.7 € per kg one of the low performing countries). The increase in material productivity of the average EU-28 was around 72% since the year 2000".

DECOUPLING IN THE EU, 2000-2014



(https://ec.europa.eu/e nvironment/ecoap/site s/ecoap_stayconnecte d/files/graph_decoupl 1_2016.png2 width=800&height=60 Q)

Download data set (https://ec.europa.eu/e nvironment/ecoap/site s/ecoap_stayconnecte

DEVELOPMENT OF GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION INTENSITY IN THE EU, 2000-2014



(https://ec.europa.eu/e nvironment/ecoap/site s/ecoap stayconnecte d/files/graph_ghg_1.jpg 2 width=800&height=60

<u>O)</u>
<u>Download data set</u>
(https://ec.europa.eu/e

<u>d/files/re outcomes b</u> 3 2016.xlsx)

Source: Eurostat (2017)

Has the aggregated European economy managed to de-couple economic growth from the use of energy and materials?

Europe has decoupled economic growth from natural resource use in the past 15 years. Material productivity in the aggregated EU-28 increased by around 65% between 2000 and 2015. This development was primarily caused by a significant growth of GDP (+53%) as well as a slight decrease in absolute material consumption (-11%). Energy consumption decreased by 5% in the same time period, resulting in an energy productivity improvement of 61%. It should be noted that the material consumption indicator underlying this calculation (DMC) does not include the indirect material flows related to international trade, which are associated with production outside of Europe. Therefore, the illustrated decrease in consumption could

nvironment/ecoap/site s/ecoap stayconnecte d/files/re outcomes b

4.xlsx)

Source: Eurostat (2015)

Has the European economy managed to decrease its greenhouse gas emissions intensity?

Between 2000 and 2014, the greenhous gas emission intensity of the aggregated EU-28 economy decreased significantly. In the year 2014, 43% less greenhouse gases were emitted to produce one unit of GDP in the EU. This development was driven both by a reduction of territorial GHG emissions (-17%) and an increase in GDP (+46%). As emphasised for the material consumption indicator, the GHG emissions embodied in European imports are not included in this calculation.

also be due to a shift of production and associated environmental pressures to other countries, as the EU is a net importer of raw materials.

SECTORAL COMPOSITION OF GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS IN THE EU, 2000-2014



(https://ec.europa.eu/e nvironment/ecoap/site s/ecoap stayconnecte d/files/graph_ghg_2.jpg 2 width=800&height=60 0)

Download data set
(https://ec.europa.eu/e
nvironment/ecoap/site
s/ecoap stayconnecte

<u>d/files/re outcomes b</u> <u>4.xlsx</u>)

Source: Eurostat (2015)

Which sectors contribute most to EU's greenhouse gas emissions?

The energy sector, which also includes greenhouse gases emitted for transport

ECO-INNOVATION INPUTS AND RESOURCE EFFICIENCY



(https://ec.europa.eu/e nvironment/ecoap/site s/ecoap stayconnecte d/files/graph eis 1 20 16.png? width=800&height=60 0)

Download data set (https://ec.europa.eu/e nvironment/ecoap/site s/ecoap stayconnecte d/files/re outcomes b

5 2016.xlsx)

Is there a correlation between ecoinnovation inputs and outcomes in terms of resource efficiency?

Across all EU-28 countries, there is only a minor correlation between ecoinnovation inputs as measured by the Ecoactivities, were by far the largest contributor to overall GHG emissions in the EU-28 (almost 80% of all GHG emissions in 2014). However. emissions from the energy sector declined by almost 20% in the past 15 years due to changes in the energy mix and technological advances. Both agriculture and industry contributed around 10% each to the GHG emissions. Waste management activities were responsible for around 3% of EU's GHG emissions in 2014. All other sectors also showed a slighlty declining trend over time.

Innovation Scoreboard (Eco-IS) and the component of resource efficiency outcomes. As a general tendency, the graph illustrates that countries with a higher score in the component of ecoinnovation inputs also perform better with regard to resource efficiency. However, the pattern is diverse across the EU countries. Some of them, such as Finland, receive a high score for eco-innovation inputs, but the resource efficiency performance is only modest. One explanation for the latter is the structure of the economy, which has important primary sectors, for example related to wood and paper industries. On the other hand, Malta is an example of a country with relatively low eco-innovation inputs, but high resource efficiency outcomes. As a small island, Malta imports most of its raw materials, energy and products from abroad and the associated environmental pressures are accounted in the foreign production country.

MORE INFORMATION

The 2010 annual report of the Eco Innovation
 Observatory 'The Eco-Innovation Challenge; Pathways to a resource efficient Europe', http://www.eco-innovation.eu/index.php?
 option=com content&view=article&i... (http://www.eco-innovation.eu/index.php?
 option=com content&view=article&id=200&Itemid=258)

• EIO 2011, 'Water Innovation' thematic report http://www.eco-innovation.eu/index.php2 option=com_content&view=article&i... (http://www.eco-innovation.eu/index.php2 option=com_content&view=article&id=284%3Awater-innovation&catid=79%3Athematic-reports&Itemid=212)

• EIO 2012, EIO-Construction Report "Resource-efficient construction' http://www.eco-innovation.eu/index.php?
option=com content&view=article&id=201%3Aresource-efficient-construction&catid=79%3Athematic-reports<emid=212)

FAQ (/environment/ecoap/faq_en) Press room (/environment/ecoap/press-room_en) Contact (/environment/ecoap/ecoap-contact_en) Sitemap (/environment/ecoap/ecoap-sitemap_en)

Last update: 14/10/2020 | Top